		<del>!</del> -————————	<del> </del>		<del></del>		<u> </u>	DC(
ORP-1 (02/02)	14				1. Date		view No.	,
		ORP - REVIEW COMMI	ENT RECORD (RCR)		04/25/	2006	N/A	
				475	3. Project No.			
5 Dogu	ment Number(s)/Title	b(c)	6. Program/Project/Building Number		200-UV	the street of th	1. Page 1 of	47.4
ı	•		assi.		7 Révièwer	8. Organization/Group		
WSCF2	20060178 & WO	4885-ST	200-UW-1/soil from tren		WR Thackaberry	FH QA	E6-	
'. 			between 216-U-8 & 216-U	J <b>-</b> 12			372-(	0.742
17. Con	nment Submittal App	reval	10. Agreement with indicated commen	/disposition(s)	11. CLOS	ED O	<del></del>	
			W. T. Lank	elis		We Thou	destaces	
				er/Patrit of Cont	act Wash		ewer/Point of Contact	
<del></del>	Organizatio	n Manager (optional)	Date		<del>1</del> ZDā	ie .		
				Rèquester			Requester	
<del></del>				and the second second			Nequester	<u></u>
12. Item	13a. Comment(s) recommendation	Discrepancy(s) (Provide technical) of the adlign required to corrective so	usification for the comment and detailed over the discrepancy/problem indicated.)	14. Reviewer Consurrence Required	15. Disposition ( Provide sep	Previde justification il NO arate attachments it nece	Fraccepted). essany	16. Status
1	60178 Inorga	nics - Pg 3, section	on LCS, paragraphs 3 & 4.		44 54	12 (2)	Et Plyn	
	Based upon t	he 3rd sentence in th	e first paragraph, it		15 H5 H6	Tab. V	- y v 0 - 1	
	, , .	silicon and silver r	esults should be flagged		Car		• .	<u>_</u>
	UR, not R.							
2		nics - Pg 4 Major def	ciencies, same comment					
	as above.							
3		nics - Pg 10, Metals						احا
		muth, silicon & silve		1. <u>1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1</u>			·	
4			silicon & silver should					ر ا
	be UR, not	18 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1					<del></del>	
5	L A Mark St. Committee Com		muth, silicon & silver				*	C
	should be U							
6			4, ACCURACY, the answers			- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1		
		5th & 6th questions a A, Yes, No.	ppear to be erroneous.		C			<u>C</u>
7		anics - pg 36, section ailed to answer 1st qu			C	Je -		C
8	60178 VOAs	7 pgs 24-25 are dupiro	cates of pages 18-23.		( a==-			C
9	60178 708-	on 34 spotton 7 un	DIDING TIMES, Validator					
]		nswer 1st question.			Con-s			
10	60178 SemiV	CAs. RadChem. Wet Chem	w04885-ST RadChem - No		1	<del></del>		
	Comment							

					1. Date	04/24/06	2. Review No.	
	REVIEW C	OMMENT R	RECORD (RCR)		3. Project		l of l 4. Page	
	ument Number(s)/Title(s) tion Package for SDG WSCF20060178		gram/Project/Building Number h between 216-U-8 & 216-U-12	7. Reviewer RL Weiss		8. Organization/Group WCH - S&DM	9. Location/Ph Sigma 1 372-9631	none
17. Com	ment Submittal Approval:	10. Agreement wi	th indicated comment disposition  R. L. Weiss	(s)	11. Closed	Karr	el m	<u></u>
	Organization Manager (Optional)	04/24/06 Date	Reviewer/Poin	t of Contract	426	<b>/,-06</b> Date	Reviewer/Point of Con	tact
			Author/Or	riginator	_		Author/Originator	
12. Item	13. Comment(s)/Discrepancy(s) (Provide recommendation of the action required to			14. Reviewer Concurrence Required	15. Disposit	ion (Provide justification i	if NOT accepted.)	16. Status
1	Inorganics, Page 5: Minor Deficiencie	es section should al	so note no MS performed.		ce	pl-		4200
2	Inorganics, Pages 12, 13, 15, & 16: R applied.	esults for Bi, Si, &	Ag need "R" qualifier		Ce			4 211
3	Wet Chemistry - No Comments.							, _
4	Volatiles, Page 10: Hexane is missing	from the table (jus	t above THF).		Ce	- 11		OKRI
5	Semivolatile, Pages 18 & 21: Diethyl need to be corrected to 660.	phthalate results fo	r B1HVM8 & B1HVN0		· a-	2		6K AL 4-26-0
6	Radiochemistry, Pages 11 &12: Typo be Cerium/Praseodymium-144. Pu-2					//_		GK PL
	-0.0088. U-235 (aea) result for B1HV		flagged "U".					7-20
7	Radiochemistry, Pages 50 & 51 are r	eversed.			Y Z			12.2

					_ <del></del>				
						1. Date	04/24/06	2. Review No.	
	REVIEW (	OMME	NT REC	CORD (RCR)		3. Proje		1 of 1 4. Page	
					<del></del>	200-U	W-1`	<u></u>	
	ment Number(s)/Title(s) ion Package for SDG W04885		_	n/Project/Building Number ween 216-U-8 & 216-U-12	7. Reviewer RL Weiss		8. Organization/Group WCH - S&DM	9. Location/Pho Sigma 1 372-9631	ne
17. Com	ment Submittal Approval:	10. Agree	ement with inc	dicated comment disposition	ı(s)	11. Close	d		
	Organization Manager (Optional)	04/24	1/06 Date	R. L. Weiss  Reviewer/Poir  R. L. Weiss	nt of Contract	- 42	6-06 Res	Reviewer/Point of Conta	or .
				Author/O	riginator			Author/Originator	
12. Item	13. Comment(s)/Discrepancy(s) (Provide recommendation of the action required to				14. Reviewer Concurrence Required		tion (Provide justification if	NOT accepted.)	16. Status
I	Radiochemistry, Pages 3 & 24: All re	esults missec	CRDL.			Co			Files.

Date:

20 April 2006

To:

Fluor Hanford Inc. (technical representative)

From:

TechLaw, Inc.

Project:

200-UW-1 Operable Unit, Soil from Trench between 216-U-8 and 216-U-

12 Cribs

Subject: Radiochemistry - Data Package No. W04885-ST

#### INTRODUCTION

This memo presents the results of data validation on Data Package No. W04885 prepared by Severn Trent (ST). A list of samples validated along with the analyses reported and the method of analysis is provided in the following table.

Sample ID	Sample Date	Media	Validation	Date
B1HVM7	3/14/06	Soil	С	See note 1
B1HVM9	3/14/06	Soil	С	See note 1
B1HVN1	3/14/06	Soil	C	See note 1

<sup>1 -</sup> Selenium-79 by LSC.

Data validation was conducted in accordance with the FHI validation statement of work and the Sampling and Analysis Plan for Support Activities to the 200-UW-1 Operable Unit, DOE/RL-2005-75, Rev. O. Appendices 1 through 6 provide the following information as indicated below:

Appendix 1. Glossary of Data Reporting Qualifiers

Appendix 2. Summary of Data Qualification

Appendix 3. Qualified Data Summary and Annotated Laboratory Reports

Appendix 4. Laboratory Narrative and Chain-of-Custody Documentation

Appendix 5. Data Validation Supporting Documentation

Appendix 6. Additional Documentation Requested by Client

#### DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES

#### Holding Times

Holding times are calculated from Chain-of-Custody forms to determine the validity of the results. The maximum holding time for radiochemical analysis is 6 months.

All holding times were acceptable.



#### Laboratory (Method) Blanks

#### Laboratory Blanks

Blank samples are analyzed to determine if positive results are due to laboratory reagent, sample container, or detector contamination. If blank analysis results indicate the presence of an analyte above the required detection limit (RDL), the following qualifiers are applied: All positive sample results less than five times the highest blank concentration are qualified as estimates and flagged "J"; sample results below the minimum detectable activity (MDA) are qualified as undetected and flagged "U"; sample results above the MDA and greater than five times the highest blank concentration are not qualified.

All laboratory blank results were acceptable.

#### Field Blanks

No field blanks were submitted for analysis.

#### Accuracy

Accuracy is evaluated by analyzing distilled water or field samples spiked with known amounts of radionuclides. The sample activity as determined by analysis is compared to the known activity to assess accuracy. The acceptable laboratory control sample (LCS) and matrix spike (MS) recovery range is either 65-135% or 70-130%, depending on the analyte. In addition, samples may be spiked with a radiochemical tracer to assist in isolating the radioisotope of interest with the yield of the tracer being used in calculating sample activity. The acceptable range for tracer recovery is 20% to 105%. Spike sample results outside the above ranges result in associated sample results being qualified as estimates, rejected, or not qualified, depending on the activity of the individual sample.

Due to the lack of an LCS or matrix spike analysis, all selenium-79 results were qualified as estimates and flagged "J".

#### Precision

Analytical precision is expressed by the relative percent difference (RPD) between the recoveries of duplicate matrix spike analyses performed on a sample. Precision may also be assessed using unspiked duplicate sample analyses. If both sample and replicate activities are greater than five times the contract required detection limit (CRDL) and the RPD is less than +/- 35 percent, the results are acceptable. If either activities are less then five times the CRDL, a control limit of less than or

equal to two times the CRDL is used for soil samples and less than or equal to the CRDL for water samples. If either the original or replicate value is below the CRDL, the applicable control limits are less than or equal to the CRDL for water samples and less than or equal to two times the CRDL for soil samples. If the RPD is outside the applicable control limit, associated results are qualified as estimated detects or estimated non-detects.

All duplicate results were acceptable.

#### Field Duplicate Samples

No field duplicates were submitted for analysis.

#### Detection Levels

Reported analytical detection levels are compared against the required target quanitation limits (RTQLs) to ensure that laboratory detection levels meet the required criteria. All analytes exceeded the RTQL. Under the FHI statement of work, no qualification is required.

#### · Completeness

Data package SDG No. W04885 was submitted for validation and verified for completeness. Completeness is based on the percentage of data determined to be valid (i.e., not rejected). The completion percentage was 100%.

#### **MAJOR DEFICIENCIES**

None found.

#### MINOR DEFICIENCIES

Due to the lack of an LCS or matrix spike analysis, all selenium-79 results were qualified as estimates and flagged "J". Data flagged "J" indicates that the associated concentration is an estimate, but under the BHI statement of work, the data may be usable for decision-making purposes. All other validated results are considered accurate within the standard error associated with the methods.

All analytes exceeded the RTQL. Under the FHI statement of work, no qualification is required.

000003

#### **REFERENCES**

FHI, Contract #20266, Validation Statement of Work, Fluor Hanford Incorporated, July 7, 2003.

DOE/RL-2005-75, Rev. 0, Sampling and Analysis Plan for Support Activities to the 200-UW-1 Operable Unit, December 2005.

Glossary of Data Reporting Qualifiers

Qualifiers which may be applied by data validators in compliance with the FHI statement of work are as follows:

- Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected above the minimum detectable activity (MDA) in the sample. The value reported is the sample result corrected for sample dilution and moisture content by the laboratory. The data is usable for decision making purposes.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected at concentrations above the minimum detectable activity (MDA) in the sample. Due to a minor QC deficiency identified during the data validation, the associated quantitation limit is an estimate, but is usable for decision making purposes.
- Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and detected. Due to a minor QC deficiency identified during the data validation, the associated concentration is an estimate, but the data are usable for decision-making purposes.
- R Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for, detected, and due to an identified major QC deficiency, the data are unusable.
- UR Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. Additionally, the data is unusable due to an identified major QC deficiency.

Appendix 2
Summary of Data Qualification

#### RADIOCHEMISTRY DATA QUALIFICATION SUMMARY\*

SDG: W04885	REVIEWER: TLI	Project: 200-UW-1	PAGE_1_OF_1
COMMENTS:			
COMPOUND	QUALIFIER	SAMPLES AFFECTED	REASON
Selenium-79	J	All	No MS or LCS analysis

<sup>\* -</sup> The Qualified Data Summary Table includes laboratory applied "U" qualifiers not specifically identified here. The laboratory applied "U" qualifiers are included to minimize misinterpretation of results contained in the table.

**Qualified Data Summary and Annotated Laboratory Reports** 

	)
	١
-	ò
	١

Project: FLUOR-HAN	FORD			1			
Laboratory: ST				]			
Case	SDG:	W04885		]			
Sample Number		B1HVM	7	B1HVM	9	B1HVN	1
Remarks							
Sample Date		3/14/06		3/14/06		3/14/06	
Radiochemistry	RTQL	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q
Selenium-79	0.1	-0.218	IJ	0.243	υJ	-0.800	UJ

#### FORM I

#### **SAMPLE RESULTS**

Date: 10-Apr-06

Lab Name:

STL Richland

SDG:

W04885

Collection Date: 3/14/2006 9:50:00 AM

Lot-Sample No.:

J6C150127-1

Report No.: 31851 **Received Date:** 

3/14/2006 2:30:00 PM

Client Sample ID: B1HVM7

COC No.:

Matrix:

SOIL SOLID

									0	dered by Client	Sample ID,	Batch No.
Parameter	Result	Qual	Count Error ( 2 s)	Total Uncert( 2 s)	MDC MDA, Action Lev	Rpt Unit, Lc	Yield CRDL(RL)	Rst/MDC, Rst/TotUcert	Analysis, Prep Date	Total Sa Size	Aliquot Size	Primary Detector
Batch: 6074250	RICHRC5043			Work Order:	H09EH1AA	Repor	t DB ID: 9H0	9EH10				
\$E-79	-2.18E-01	لرک	1.1E+00	1.3E+00	2.68E+00 p	oCi/g	68%	-0.08	4/3/06 10:47 a		1.08	LSC6
						1.28E+00	1.00E+01	-0.33			G	

No. of Results: 1

Comments:

#### **FORM I**

#### **SAMPLE RESULTS**

Lab Name:

STL Richland

SDG:

W04885

Collection Date: 3/14/2006 10:10:00 AM

Lot-Sample No.:

J6C150127-2

Report No.: 31851 **Received Date:** 

3/14/2006 2:30:00 PM

Client Sample ID: B1HVM9

COC No.:

Matrix:

**SOLID** 

Date: 10-Apr-06

Ordered by Client Sample ID, Batch No.

SOIL

Parameter	Result	Qual	Count Error ( 2 s)	Total Uncert( 2 s)	MDC MDA, Action Lev	Rpt Unit, Lc	Yield CRDL(RL)	Rst/MDC, Rst/TotUcert	Analysis, Prep Date	Total Sa Size	Aliquot Size	Primary Detector
Batch: 6074250	RICHRC5043			Work Order:	H09EN1AA	Rapoi	rt DB ID: 9H09	EN10				
SE-79	2.43E-01	Lu	1.1E+00	1.4E+00	2.67E+00	pCi/g 1.28E+00	72% 1.00E+01	0.09 0.36	4/3/06 12:12 p		1.02 G	LSC6

No. of Results: 1

Comments:



#### **FORM I**

#### **SAMPLE RESULTS**

Date: 10-Apr-06

SDG:

Lab Name:

STL Richland

W04885

Collection Date: 3/14/2006 10:20:00 AM

Lot-Sample No.: J6C150127-3

Report No.: 31851 **Received Date:** 

3/14/2006 2:30:00 PM

Client Sample ID: B1HVN1 COC No.: Matrix:

SOIL

SOLID

					_					Ordered by Client	Sample ID,	Batch No.
Parameter	Result	Qual	Count Error ( 2 s)	Total Uncert( 2 s)	MDC MDA, Action Lev	Rpt Unit, Lc	Yleid CRDL(RL)	Rst/MDC, Rst/TotUcert	Analysis, Prep Date	Total Sa Size	Aliquot Size	Primary Detector
Batch: 6074250	RICHRC5043			Work Order:	H09EP1AA	Repor	t DB ID: 9H09	EP10		_		_
SE-79	-8.00E-01	ÚΩ	1.0E+00	1.3E+00	2.62E+00 p	Ci/g	71%	-0.31	4/3/06 12:54 p	)	1.05	LSC6
						1.25E+00	1.00E+01	-(1.2)			G	

No. of Results: 1

Comments:

4/19/04

Laboratory Narrative and Chain-of-Custody Documentation

### Certificate of Analysis

Fluor Hanford P.O. Box 1000, T6-03 Richland, WA 99352

April 10, 2006

Attention: John Trechter

SAF Number : R06-013
Date SDG Closed : March 14, 2006
Number of Samples : Three (3)
Sample Type : Soil
SDG Number : W04878

Data Deliverable : 15 / 30-Day Summary

#### **CASE NARRATIVE**

#### I. Introduction

On March 14, 2006, three soil samples were received at STL Richland (STLR) for radiochemical analysis. Upon receipt, the samples were assigned to lot J6C150127 and assigned the following laboratory ID number to correspond with the Fluor Hanford (FH) specific ID:

FH ID#	STLR ID#	<u>MATRIX</u>	DATE OF RECEIPT
B1HVM7	H09EH	SOIL	3/14/06
B1HVM9	H09EN	SOIL	3/14/06
BIHVNI	H09EP	SOIL	3/14/06

#### II. Sample Receipt

The samples were received in good condition and no anomalies were noted during check-in.

#### III. Analytical Results/Methodology

The analytical results for this report are presented by laboratory sample ID. Each set of data includes sample identification information, analytical results and the appropriate associated statistical errors.

The requested analyses were:

**Liquid Scintillation Counting** 

#### Selenium-79 by method RICH-RC-5043

#### IV. Quality Control

The analytical results for each analysis performed includes a minimum of one laboratory control sample (LCS), one method (reagent) blank, and one duplicate sample analysis. Any exceptions have been noted in the "Comments" section.

QC and sample results are reported in the same units.

#### V. Comments

#### **Gas Proportional Counting**

Selenium-79 by method RICH-RC-5043

There is currently not an available standard for Selenium 79 and an LCS was not analyzed. The batch blank, sample and sample duplicate (B1HVM7) results are within contractual requirements.

I certify that this Certificate of Analysis is in compliance with the SOW, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the Laboratory Manager, or a designee as verified by the following signature.

Reviewed and approved:

Hans Carman Project Manager

	Fluor Hanford Inc.		Ī		CHAIN OF CUSTO	DDY/SAMPLE ANALYSIS REC	QUEST	R06-013-001 PA	GE 1 OF 1
COLLECTOR	··-		COMP	ANY CONTACT		TELEPHONE NO.	PROJECT COORDINATOR	+	DATA
١./	Hulse			ITER, JE		373-7046	TRECHTER, JE	PRICE CODE 9C	TURNAROUND
SAMPLING LOCAT			PROJE	CT DESIGNATI	ON		SAF NO.	AIR QUALITY	15 Days /
200-UW-1						etween 216-U-8 and 216-U-12	R06-013		15 Days
ICE CHEST NO.			<del></del>	LOGBOOK NO.		COA	METHOD OF SHIPMENT		
						121595E520	GOVERNIMENT VEHICLE		
SHIPPED TO	_ ~		OFPSI	TE PROPERTY	NO.		BILL OF LADING/AIR BILL	NO.	
Severn Trent Incorp	orated, Richland		N/A				N/A		
MATRIX*  OL ~ OTHER LIQUID  OS = OTHER SOLID  S = SOIL  W = WATER	SPECIAL HANDLI	ING AND/O	R STORAGE		POSSIBLE SAMPI	LE HAZARDS/ REMARKS	800 3128100 MQ1882 2001294		
SAMPLE NO.	LAB ID	MATRIX*	SAMPLE DATE	SAMPLE TIME	NO./TYPE CONTAINER(S)		ANALYSIS		PRESERVATION
B1HVM7	11-0511	S	3-14-06	0950	1X60mL G/P 23grams	Selenium-79 (Se-79)			. None
	H3POH		ļ						·
B1 <b>HVM9</b>	43POH	S	3-14-06	1010	1X60mL G/P 20.65 RAM	Selenium-79 (Se-79)			None
B1HVN1	:t09EP	S	3-14-a	1020	1X60mL G/P 24.3 grad	Selenium-79 (Se-79)			None
CHAIN OF POSSES	SION			SIGN/ PRINT	NAMES	4	SPECIAL INSTRUCTION		
RELINQUISHED BY/RI KB Hulse RELINQUISHED BY/RI	A Hala	د خ	DATE/TIME  DATE/TIME	DAVID HAP	Birso-	DATE/TIM	of sample receipt.All sa increment sampling pr	in of custody (COC) to John Tre amples have been taken using t rogram. This requires the entire I in analysis.	he multiple-
RELINQUISHED BY/RI	EMOVED FROM		DATE/TIME	RECEIVED BY/S	STORED IN	DATE/TIM	IE .		
RELINQUISHED BY/RI	EMOVED FROM		DATE/TIME	RECEIVED BY/S	FTORED IN	DATE/TIM	1E		
LABORATORY SECTION	RECEIVED BY					π	LE .	DATI	E/TIME
FINAL SAMPLE DISPOSITION	DISPOSAL METHOD	<del></del>			DISPOSED BY DATE/TIME			E/TIME	

**Data Validation Supporting Documentation** 

# APPENDIX A RADIOCHEMICAL DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST

VALIDATION LEVEL:	A	В	(c)	D	E
PROJECT:	200		DATA PACKA	GE: Wol	1985
VALIDATOR:	+LT.	LAB: 5T		DATE: 4/19	100
			SDG:	W0488	5
Gross Alpha/Beta	Strontium-90	ANALYSES 1 Technetium-99	PERFORMED Alpha Speatestony	Gamma Spectroscopy	т
Total Uranium	Radium-22	Tritium	(SF-79)	Ошина орожизату	
SAMPLES/MAT	RIX			•	,
B1H	VM7	B1HV49	B1HVL	)	
				-	Soil
1 Completenes	26			•••••	🗆 N/A
1. Completenes			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	******************************	<u>U</u> 1V/A
Technical verifi	cation forms pre	sent?		Ye	NoN/A
				·	$\bigcup$
Comments:					
	<del></del>	_			
					< <i>1</i>
<ol><li>Initial Calibr</li></ol>	ation (Levels D,	E)		•••••	<b>\Z</b> N/A
T	. 121 4. 1	0			/
				••••••	
Initial calibratio	n acceptable?	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		Yes No N/A
Standards NIST	traceable?	•••••		•••••	Yes No N/A
Standards Expir	ed?			•••••	Yes No N/A
Calculation chec	ck acceptable?				Yes No N/A
Comments:					
<del></del>	<u> </u>				

3. Continuing Calibration (Levels D, E)	A	N/A
Calibration checked within required frequency?Yes	No	N/A
Calibration check acceptable?Yes	No	N/A
Calibration check standards traceable?Yes	No	N/A
Calibration check standards expired?	No	N/A
Calculation check acceptable?Yes	No	N/A
Comments:		
4. Background Counts (Levels D, E)	\	- LN/A
Background Counts checked within required frequency?Yes	No	N/A
Background Counts acceptable?	No	N/A
Calculation check acceptable?Yes	No	N/A
Comments:		

5. Blanks (Levels B, C, D, E)	□ N/A
Method blank analyzed within required frequence	y?Yes No N/A
	Yes No N/A
	Yes(N) N/A
	Yes(No)N/A
Field blank results acceptable?	Yes No (N/A
	Yes No(N/A
Transcription/Calculation Errors? (Levels D, E)	Yes No N/A
Comments:	no FB
	4444
6. Laboratory Control Samples or Blank Spike S	Samples (Levels C, D, E)
LCS /BSS analyzed within required frequency?	Yes No N/A
LCS/BSS recoveries acceptable?	Yes No(N/A
LCS/BSS traceable? (Levels D,E)	Yes No N/A
LCS/BSS expired? (Levels D,E)	Yes No NA
LCS/BSS levels correct? (Levels D,E)	Yes No N/A
Transcription/Calculation Errors? (Levels D, E)	Yes No (N/A
Comments: NO LCS -	Jal
7. Chemical Carrier Recovery (Levels C, D, E)	N/A
Chemical carrier added?	Yes No N/A
	Yes No N/A
Chemical carrier traceable? (Levels D. F.)	

Chemical carrier expired? (Levels D, E)	No	N/A
Transcription/Calculation errors? (Levels D, E)	No	N/A
Comments:		
8. Tracer Recovery (Levels C, D, E)	П	NI/A
Tracer added? Yes	No	N/A
Tracer recovery acceptable?	No	N/A
Tracer traceable? (Levels D, E)Yes	No(	N/A
Tracer expired? (Levels D, E)Yes	No(	N/A
Transcription/Calculation errors? (Levels D, E)	No (	N/A
Comments:		
9. Matrix Spikes (Levels C, D, E)	🗆	N/A
Matrix spike analyzed?	No	N/A
Spike recoveries acceptable?		
Spike source traceable? (Levels D, E)		$\sim$
Spike source expired? Levels D, E)		$\sim$
Transcription/Calculation Errors? (Levels D, E)	`	$\searrow$
Comments: NUMS - J all		AZIZH.
Comments: VC 70 C3 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C		
	_	
	_	

10. Duplicates (Levels C, D, E)	•••••	□ N/A
Duplicates Analyzed at required frequency?	••••	Yes No N/A
RPD Values Acceptable?		
Transcription/Calculation Errors? (Levels D, E)		
Comments:		
11. Field QC Samples (Levels C, D E)	•••••	🗖 N/A
Field duplicate sample(s) analyzed?		Yes No N/A
Field duplicate RPD values acceptable?		
Field split sample(s) analyzed?		Yes No N/A
Field split RPD values acceptable?		Yes No (N/A)
Performance audit sample(s) analyzed?		Yes No N/A
Performance audit sample results acceptable?		Yes No N/A
Comments:	Field	- Q(
12. Holding Times (All levels)		
Are sample holding times acceptable?		Yes No N/A
Comments:		
	_	

13. Results and Detection Limits (All Levels)	
Results reported for all required sample analyses?	Yes No N/A
Results supported in raw data? (Levels D, E)	Yes No (N/A <i>)</i>
Results Acceptable? (Levels D, E)	Yes No(N/A)
Transcription/Calculation errors? (Levels D, E)	Yes No (N/A)
MDA's meet required detection limits?	Yes No N/A
Transcription/calculation_errors? (Levels D, E)	Yes No NA
Comments: Mill ouer	

Additional Documentation Requested by Client

# 000026

#### FORM II

Date: 10-Apr-06

#### **DUPLICATE RESULTS**

Lab Name:

STL Richland

SDG:

W04885

Collection Date: 3/14/2006 9:50:00 AM

Lot-Sample No.: J6C150127-1

Report No.: 31851 **Received Date:** 

3/14/2006 2:30:00 PM

Client Sample ID: B1HVM7 DUP

COC No.:

Matrix:

SOIL

SOLID

Parameter	Result, Orig Ast	Qual	Count Error ( 2 \$)	Total Uncert( 2 s)	MDC MDA, Action Lev	Rpt Unit, CRDL	Yieid	Rst/MDC, Rst/TotUcert	Analysis, Prep Date	Total Sa Size	Aliquot Size	Primary Detector
Batch: 6074250	RICHRC5043			Work Order:	109EH1AC	Report D	DB ID: H09	EH1CR	Orig Sa DB ID: 9H0	9EH10		
SE-79	3.86E-01	U	1.1E+00	1.4E+00	2.70E+00	pCi/g	72%	0.14	4/3/06 11:30 a		1.01	LSC6
	-2.18E-01	U	RPD	719.4		1.00E+01		0.56			G	

No. of Results: 1

Comments:

# FORM II

#### **BLANK RESULTS**

Lab Name: STL Richland

SDG:

Date: 10-Apr-06

W04885

Matrix: SOIL Report No.: 31851

Parameter	Result	Qual	Count Error ( 2 s)	Total Uncert( 2 s)	MDC MDA, Lc	Rpt Unit, CRDL	Yield	Rst/MDC, Rst/TotUcert	Analysis, Prep Date	Total Sa Size	Aliquot Size	Primary Detector
Batch: 6074250	RICHRC5043			Work Order:	H09TG1AA	Report	DB ID: HO	TG1AB				
SE-79 5.3	5.38E-01	U	1.9E+00	2.3E+00	4.46E+00	pCi/g	44%	0.12	4/3/06 01:36 p		1.0	LSC6
					2.14E+00	1.00E±01		0.47			G	

No. of Results: 1